Hydraulic groundwater modeling

- Week 11
- Assessing the quality of a groundwater model

"Quality" of a model

- Stability
- Accuraccy
- Applicability
- Limitations

• ...

Example: Solute transport

• Tracer injection into a steady but heterogeneous aquifer







Simulation results









Simulation results - statistic

- Using the statistics tool:
 - The solution is bounded
 - The model is mass conserving

Statistik	_	×
Statistics for Map(s) Histogrammed		
Statistics for raster map <stresult_30>: total null and non-null cells: 5000 total null cells: 0</stresult_30>		
Of the non-null cells:		
n: 5000 minimum: 0 maximum: 0.0134517 range: 0.0134517 mean: 0.000999524 mean of absolute values: 0.000999524 standard deviation: 0.00220332 variance: 4.85462e-06 variation coefficient: 220.437 % <u>sum: 4.99761920952335</u> 1st quartile: 6.81529e-07 median (even number of cells): 3.94366e-05 3rd quartile: 0.000672472 90th percentile: 0.00348276		

Look at the output!

W GRASS GIS 7.8.4 Ebenen-Manager

Lässhan

Datei Einstellungen Raster Vektor Bildverarbeitung 3D raster Datenbank Temporal Hilfe



	Befehlsausgabe
Casisham	les De

The CFL stability criteria

• CFL criteria advection

- CFL criteria diffusion (CSFT)
 - Note that D/dx is also velocity (m/s)
 - Usually diffusion much slower than advection





CFL in r.solute.transport

- CFL for advection (source code)
- In GUI: use CFL flag (does not work in Python)
- With Python: Use loops
 - Divides given time step in number of separate steps
 - In shown example: 10 loops

Violating CFL criteria



Lese Rasterkarte <gwresult> in den Speicher. The Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy criteria is 2.90613 it should be within [0:1] The largest stable time step is 29730.3 WARNING: The time step is to large: 86400s. The largest time step should be of size 29730.3s. Time step 1 with time sum 86400 Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 0 Fehler 8.90477e-009 Schreibe 2D Array in die Rasterkarte <stresult_30>. Statistik

Statistics for Map(s) Histogrammed

Statistics for raster map <stresult_30>: total null and non-null cells: 5000 total null cells: 0

Of the non-null cells:

n: 5000 minimum: -0.000622375 maximum: 0.0271283 range: 0.0277507 mean: 0.000999535 mean of absolute values: 0.00100736 standard deviation: 0.00364895 variance: 1.33148e-05 variation coefficient: 365.065 % sum: 4.99767704014725 1st quartile: 0

median (even number of cells): 7.72278e-14 3rd quartile: 3.75629e-05 90th percentile: 0.0015221

9

Decreasing timestep dt

• Half of previous time step (now: 4320s)



• Result: No fundamental change small changes to be expected



Increasing accuraccy



Statistik

Statistics for Map(s) Histogrammed

Statistics for raster map < stresult_30>: total null and non-null cells: 5000 total null cells: 0

Of the non-null cells:

n: 5000 minimum: 0 maximum: 0.013419 range: 0.013419 mean: 0.000999518 mean of absolute values: 0.000999518 standard deviation: 0.00219781 variance: 4.83035e-06 variation coefficient: 219.887 % sum: 4.99759223891779 1st quartile: 7.47963e-07

Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 2 Fehler 4.15716e-021 Time step 7 with time sum 60480 Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 0 Fehler 1.27293e-009 Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 1 Fehler 1.5883e-015 Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 2 Fehler 4.03333e-021 Time step 8 with time sum 69120 Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 0 Fehler 1.26355e-009 Sparse BiCGStab -- Iteration 1 Fehler 1.5632e-015

11

Reduce spatial resolution







12

Peclet number

•
$$Pe = \frac{dx v}{D} < 2$$



Statistik

Statistics for Map(s) Histogrammed

Statistics for raster map < stresult_30>: total null and non-null cells: 200 total null cells: 0

Of the non-null cells:

n: 200

minimum: 0

maximum: 0.159029 range: 0.159029 mean: 0.0238411 mean of absolute values: 0.0238411 standard deviation: 0.0325971 variance: 0.00106257 variation coefficient: 136.726 % sum: 4.7682297694393 1st quartile: 0.00221652

Assessing numerical diffusion

- Assume pure advection



Numerical diffusion - homogen



standard deviation: 0.0131062

variation coefficient: 1310.63 %

variance: 0.000171774

sum: 4.99997019828779

1ct augetile: 9 51055e-116



Lessons learned

- Assessing the quality of a simulation is maningfold
- Conceptual decissions need to be assessed separately!
- Boundedness and conservativity can be assessed comparably easy
- Know (and check!) your stability criterias
- Accuraccy difficult to assess without validation
- Check for convergence in spatial and temporal resolution