

# Real options analysis and its application in the energy sector

**Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener** 

FCN I Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior



- 1. Evaluation methods for investment projects
- 2. Net present value vs. real options analysis
- 3. Real options
  - 2.1. Financial options
  - 2.2. Financial options vs. real options
  - 2.3. Evaluation methods
- 4. Applications of real options to the energy sector
  - selected examples



Project evaluation is a systematic assessment of a project's design and its implementation with the goal



## Evaluation of investment projects, specifically means to assess their potential profitability, risks, and overall viability



**Evaluation of investment projects can be conducted using:** 

#### Return on Investment (ROI):

- one of the most widely recognized and employed methods for project evaluation
- offers a simple yet powerful way to assess the economic success or failure of a project
- compares the initial outlay with the ultimate revenue generated
- > presents a clear picture of a **project's financial viability**
- quantifies the profit or loss made on a project relative to the amount of money invested
- positive value of ROI means benefits exceed costs, a negative value means costs overshadowed the benefits





**Evaluation of investment projects can be conducted using:** 

#### Net Present Value (NPV):

- calculate the present value of expected cash flows minus initial investment costs
- measures the present value of all cash flows in terms of a project's benefits and costs
- > used for long-term projects where some cash flows are received in the future
- considers the time value of money (the fact that money today is worths more than money tomorrow)
- considers the risks associated with future cash flows
- positive value means the present value of all benefits exceeds the current value of all costs, a negative value means the opposite



**Evaluation of investment projects can be conducted using:** 

#### Internal Rate of Return (IRR):

- > popular metric used to estimate the profitability of potential investments
- represents the interest rate at which NPV of a project's cash flows amounts to zero, means is the rate at which a project **breaks even** in terms of NPV
- helps stakeholders decide whether to proceed with a project, take a loan, or compare it with other potential investments
- > project with a higher IRR is considered as a better investment



**Evaluation of investment projects can be conducted using:** 

#### **Payback Period**:

- helps to determine the time required for a project to recoup its initial investment in terms of net cash inflows
- gives a straightforward view of how quickly an investment can pay back its initial cost but doesn't consider any cash flows after the payback period
- shorter payback period is typically more favorable, especially when facing an uncertain future or evaluating multiple investment opportunities



**Evaluation of investment projects can be conducted using:** 

#### Real Option Analysis (ROA):

- recognizes the value of flexibility in investment decisions
- extends traditional discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis by incorporating the value of managerial flexibility to adapt decisions in response to unexpected market developments or new information
- particularly useful when dealing with projects that have uncertain future cash flows
- considers the ability to adapt or change an investment strategy as new information becomes available
- incorporating some options (to expand, delay, or abandon a project) provides a more accurate assessment of its value





## 2. Net Present Value vs. Real Options Analysis

- Investment projects are a capital investment opportunities in real assets such as lands, buildings, plants or equipment etc.
- In most investment projects the options are already embedded e.g.:
  - the option to expand the investment project i.e. to make further investments and increase the output if conditions are favorable
  - the option to abandon the investment project i.e. means to sell or shut down a project
  - the option to contract the investment project i.e. to reduce the scale of a project's operation

#### These options are very difficult to evaluate using traditional capital investment techniques (such as NPV)

Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Energy Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)

9



## 2. Net Present Value vs. Real Options Analysis

| Net Present Value vs. Real Options Analysis   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Single decision pathway with fixed outcome  | Multiple decision pathways – consequence  |  |  |
| Static in nature; tends to systematically undervalue investments                                  | of <b>high uncertainty</b> in future cash flows   |  |  |
|   | Builds upon traditional discounted cash-flow<br>analysis, providing <b>value-adding insights</b><br><b>to decision making</b> |  |  |
| All decisions are made at the beginning<br>without the ability to change or develop<br>over time  |   |  |  |
|   | Flexibility of investment timing  |  |  |
| Decision should be made when the expected discounted future cash-flows match the investment costs | Decision could be made even the expected discounted future cash flows <b>are significantly above the investment costs</b>     |  |  |



## 2. Net Present Value vs. Real Options Analysis

- The NPV approach ignores the benefits which managers may obtain from exercising their judgment and making decisions as future events unfold
- Real options analysis is an attempt to extend the state of the art, but not even the most complex real options models are able to fully capture the competitive dynamics and interactions inherent in most compound real options

## NPV "...cannot properly capture management's flexibility to adopt and revise later decisions in response to unexpected market developments."

(Trigeorgis L., 2000, Real Options: Managerial Flexibility and Strategy in Resource Allocation, MIT Press)



## 3. Real options

- Real options represent certain types of management decisions
- Real options are decision choices about real assets that a manager may exercise in the future
- Many real options are contingent on more than one source of uncertainty and so should be classified as compound real options or options on an option
- Real-life investment projects often include a collection of these compound real options whose values may interact (e.g., a R&D project investment)
- Real options are on the frontiers of strategic management and finance



- The option models used to value real options are borrowed from financial options pricing models but:
  - The underlying assumptions of these financial models do not strictly apply to real assets
  - The standard models used to value financial options do not strictly apply to the conditions of most real options

#### Example:

Black-Scholes option pricing formula (Black and Scholes, 1973)

 applies when there is a single source of uncertainty, as measured by the volatility of the underlying asset (technically the squared standard deviation of the asset's returns)

and a single decision date (the time of exercising the option is fixed on a certain date)



- Consider uncertainty in future cash flows and the irreversibility of investment projects
- This analysis enables to value real options (e.g., the value of a power plant based on the option to invest)
- Allows to determine the value of flexibility, e.g., from:
  - delaying the construction of an electric power plant
  - installing new equipment or components (e.g. retrofit measures) in a power plant
  - ✓ stop the operation of the power plant (*dis*investment)

## The crucial point of real options analysis is the modeling of uncertainty in the future project's value



### **Financial options**

- Common assets on the finance market include: stocks, bonds, commodities, currencies, interest rates and market indices (traded assets)
- A derivative can be defined as a financial instrument whose value depends on (or derives from) the values of other basic underlying assets
- The derivative itself is a **contract between two or more** parties based on the asset or assets (the 'underlying')
- The value of a derivative is determined by fluctuations in the **underlying asset** Examples of derivatives:
  - ✓ option is a derivative whose value is dependent on the price of a stock
  - futures
  - forwards
  - swaps
  - credit derivatives



Financial options – the common form of derivatives

- An option is a contract in that it is an agreement between two parties granting one party the opportunity to buy or sell a security or other financial asset from the other party at a predetermined future date
- The contract offers the buyer the right, but not the obligation, to buy (call option) or sell (put option) a security or other financial asset at an agreed-upon price (the strike price) during a certain period of time, or on a specific date (exercise date or maturity)
- Options are traded both on exchanges and in the over-the-counter (OTC) market
- American options can be exercised at any time up to the expiration date
- European options can be exercised only on the expiration date itself
- Different evaluation methods



## **3.1. Financial options**

#### **European call option**





A call option gives the holder the right to buy the underlying asset by a certain date and at a certain price

Source: Hull C. (2012). Options, futures, and other derivatives. Prentice Hall, p. 195

**Situation:** investor buys a European call option (the investor can exercise only on the expiration date) with a strike price of \$100 to purchase 100 shares of a certain stock:

- Current stock price is \$98
- Expiration date of the option is in 4 months
- Price of an option to purchase one share is \$5
- Initial investment is \$500



### **European call option**

At the expiration date:

#### (1) Stock price is less than \$100

- the investor will not exercise the option
- the investor loses the whole of the initial investment of \$500

#### (2) Stock price is, e.g., \$115

- the investor will exercise the option
- the investor buys 100 shares for \$100 per share
- the investor sells immediately and makes a gain of \$15 per share (\$1,500, ignoring transaction costs)
- net profit to the investor is \$1,000 (\$1,500 \$500)

#### (3) Stock price is e.g. \$102

- the investor would exercise the option
- contract for a gain of 100\*(\$102 \$100) = \$200
- but realizes a loss overall of \$300 when the initial cost of the option is taken into account

al cost of the option is taken into account

18 Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Energy Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)





Source: Hull C. (2012). Options, futures, and other derivatives. Prentice Hall, p.195



## **3.1. Financial options**

#### **European put option**





A **put option** gives the holder the right **to sell** the underlying asset by a **certain date** and at a **certain price** 

Source: Hull C. (2012). Options, futures, and other derivatives. Prentice Hall, p.196

**Situation:** investor buys a European put option (the investor can exercise only on the expiration date) at a strike price of \$70 to purchase 100 shares of a certain stock:

- Current stock price is \$65
- Expiration date of the option is in 3 months
- Price of an option to purchase one share is \$7
- Initial investment is \$700

19 Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Energy Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)

#### **European put option**

At the expiration date:

#### (1) Stock price is above \$70

- the investor will not exercise the option
- the investor loses the whole of the initial investment of \$700

#### (2) Stock price is e.g. \$55

- the investor will exercise the option
- the investor buys 100 shares for \$55 per share
- the investor sells at \$70 per share (\$1,500, ignoring transactions costs)

net profit to the investor is \$800 (\$1,500 - \$500)





Source: Hull C. (2012). Options, futures, and other derivatives. Prentice Hall, p.196



## 3.2. Financial options vs. real options

| Option parameter<br>(characteristic) | Financial option   | Real option  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Underlying asset                     | <ul> <li>✓ financial assets (such as:<br/>stocks, bonds, currencies,<br/>commodities, interest rates or<br/>market indices)</li> <li>✓ traded on the stock exchange<br/>or OTC</li> <li>✓ value (=price of the financial<br/>asset)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>✓ real assets (such as: land,<br/>buildings, plants or<br/>equipment)</li> <li>✓ are not traded on financial<br/>exchange</li> <li>✓ illiquid and hard to trade</li> <li>✓ more sources of uncertainty</li> <li>✓ value (=present value of<br/>project's or investment's<br/>cash-flows)</li> </ul> |
| Strike price                         | exercise price   | amount of money to be invested<br>or received in a launching<br>(exercising) the action (option)   |
| Exercise date or maturity            | time until the option expires  | time until the decision must be made   |
| Volatility                           | standard deviation of the value of the underlying asset  | uncertainty about the future value (probability distribution)  |

Source: Haahtela T. (2012). Differences between financial options and real options. Lecture Notes in Management Science, Vol. 4: 169-178





## 3.2. Financial options vs. real options

| Financial option  | Real option   |
|---|---|
| Short maturity (usually months)   | Longer maturity with several years  |
| Volatility sufficiently stable  | Time-varying, usually diminishing, volatility   |
| Follows better process gBM  | Follows rather a mean-reverting process in the long run                                 |
| No possibility to control and manipulate option value                                 | Managerial decisions and flexibility increase the option value                          |
| Management assumption have no effect on valuation                                     | Management assumptions and actions drive the value of the real option                   |
| Competition and market value do not affect valuation                                  | Competition and market value drive the value of the strategic option                    |
| Often single options  | Often compound options (parallel and sequential) with interactions                      |
| Solved usually using closed-form PDE's and simulation / variance reduction techniques | Closed-form solutions and binomial lattices with simulation of the underlying variables |

Source: Haahtela T. (2012). Differences between financial options and real options. Lecture Notes in Management Science, Vol. 4: 169-178

PDE – partial differential equation, gBM – geometric Brownian motion



## 3.2. Financial options vs. real options

| Financial option  | Real option   |
|---|---|
| Depends only on the risk-free interest rate                                   | Dependent on both risk-free interest rate<br>and risk-adjusted premium or equilibrium<br>rate in dynamic programming context  |
| Option value is known at exercise   | Expected value may be known, but it may still have fluctuations in the future   |
| Exercise time or time period (for American options) is known in the beginning | Exercise time, especially the optimal one, not necessarily known  |
| Strike price is often known   | Strike price may also be stochastic   |
| May not have negative values  | Underlying asset may have negative values   |
| Mostly European by nature   | Mostly American by nature   |
| Computational efficiency is important   | Computational efficiency is less important  |
| Valuation parameters mostly primary and observable variables                  | Valuation parameters are often secondary,<br>derived and estimated from the primary<br>parameters of the cash-flow simulation |

Source: Haahtela T. (2012). Differences between financial options and real options. Lecture Notes in Management Science, Vol. 4: 169-178

23 Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Energy Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)

### A) Binomial or multinomial lattice models

- the binomial lattice as an option pricing model that is based on the simple formulation that the price of the underlying, in any time period, can move to one of two possible new stages ("up" and "down") with certain probabilities
- it is a common method applied to American-style options
- the major advantages of the binomial lattice method are:
  - its ease of use and better tractability
  - its flexible use for different types of real options problems
- Iattice and tree-based methods are accurate, robust, and intuitively appealing tools to value financial and real options



- lattices are also more easily to be explained to and accepted by management, because the methodology is straightforward to understand
- ✓ assumption: price of underlying asset follows a random walk
- European option price given by the binomial tree converges to the Black– Scholes–Merton price as the time step becomes smaller



## **3.3. Evaluation methods**

B) Closed-form models with partial-differential equations (Black-Scholes approach)

- can only be applied to European-style options
- is also considered as the limiting case of the binomial approach
- is justified when the distribution of the underlying is normal and if the price trajectory of the underlying is continuous and without jumps
- Black and Scholes used the capital asset pricing model to determine the relationship<sub>pt</sub> between the market's required return e<sub>τ</sub> on the option and the required return i on the stock σ

$$CALL_t(T) = p_t \cdot N(d_1) - e_T \cdot e^{-i(T-t)} \cdot N(d_2)$$

$$d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{p_t}{e_T}\right) + \left(i + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \cdot (T - t)}{\sigma \cdot \sqrt{T - t}}$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \cdot \sqrt{T - t}$$

present spot market price of the underlying

- exercise price of the option at maturity T (strike price)
- risk-free interest rate
- $\sigma$  annualized volatility of  $p_t$  (underlying)
- *T* time of maturity (in years)





#### C) Path-dependent stochastic simulations (simulation-based methods)

- Monte Carlo simulation methods are used especially for high-dimensional option models, i.e. when the model incorporates multiple sources of uncertainties
- Monte Carlo simulation can be used to consolidate cash-flow calculation uncertainties
- differential equations to describe the process of the sources of uncertainties are no longer needed because their "physical" processes can be directly simulated
- this method is computationally expensive



D) Fuzzy pay-off method for real options valuation (Collan et al., 2009)

- combines the use of fuzzy logic with the idea of the payoff method
- the approach uses fuzzy numbers to represent the future distribution of the expected option value and applies fuzzy sets theory to calculate the option value



### **Real options disinvestment model – OPTION TO ABANDON**



### Real options disinvestment model – **OPTION TO ABANDON**

- > Dynamic programming used approach
- The multi-period optimization problem is broken down into a sequence of simpler problems, where at each date the decision on the action to be taken is made
- > All relevant information about the past summarized by the current state of the project
- All relevant information about the future summarized by the market values of the project after up and down moves
- > Main question: shut-down or continue power generation?
- Crucial point the optimal timing of the shut-down
- Project value is calculated for each period and depends on the level of the capacity factor
- The shut-down option is taken when the present project value for the given capacity factor level is less than the decommissioning costs (residual value of the plant)





### **Real options reinvestment model – OPTION TO EXPAND**



30 Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Energy Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)



31 Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Ene Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)



32 Real options analysis and its application in energy sector | Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener | Chair of Energy Economics and Management, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN)

## Licensing

#### Case study "Application of real options analysis for investment in energy sector"

Chair of Energy Economics and Management Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener, Dr. Barbara Glensk, Qinghan Yu M.Sc. RWTH Aachen University October 2023

This work and its contents are - unless stated otherwise - licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0. Excluded from the license are the logos used.

The license agreement is available here: https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-sa/4.0/deed This work is available online at: https://www.orca.nrw/





#### Participating universities:









Das Landesportal fü Studium und Lehre.



Funded by:

Ministerium für Kultur und Wissenschaft des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen





#### Contact

E.ON Energy Research Center, Institute for Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior (FCN) Mathieustraße 10 52074 Aachen Germany

Prof. Dr. Reinhard Madlener T +49 241 80 49820 F +49 241 80 49829 RMadlener@eonerc.rwth-aachen.de

Further Information:

http://www.eonerc.rwth-aachen.de/fcn

FCN I Future Energy Consumer Needs and Behavior



## **Selected references**

- > Dixit A.K., Pindyck R.S. (1994). Investment under Uncertainty. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Glensk B., Madlener R. (2015). Investments in Flexibility Measures for Gas-Fired Power Plants: A Real Options Approach in: Operations Research Proceedings 2015. Selected Papers of the International Conference of the German, Austrian and Swiss Operations Research Societies (GOR, ÖGOR, SVOR/ASRO), University of Vienna, Austria, September 1-4, 2015, Springer 2017, pp: 637-643
- Glensk B., Madlener R. (2015). Real Options Analysis of the Flexible Operation of an Enhanced Gas-Fired Power Plant, FCN Working Paper No.11/2015
- Glensk B., Madlener R. (2016). Evaluating the Enhanced Flexibility of Lignite-Fired Power Plants: A Real Options Analysis, FCN Working Paper No.10/2016
- Glensk B., Madlener R. (2016). Flexibility Options for Lignite-Fired Power Plants: A Real Options Approach in: Operations Research Proceedings 2016. Selected Papers of the Annual International Conference of the German Operations Research Societies (GOR) Helmut Schmidt University Hamburg, Germany, August 30 - September 2, 2016, Springer 2018, pp: 157-163
- Glensk B., Madlener R. (2018). Evaluating the Enhanced Flexibility of Lignite-Fired Power Plants: A Real Options Analysis, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 177: 737-749
- Glensk B., Madlener R. (2019). The Value of Enhanced Flexibility of Gas-Fired Power Plants: A Real Options Analysis. Applied Energy, 251: 113-125
- Glensk B., Rosen C., Madlener R. (2014). A Real Options Model for the Disinvestment in Conventional Power Plants in: Operations Research Proceedings 2014. Selected Papers of the Annual International Conference of the German Operations Research Society (GOR), RWTH Aachen University, Germany, September 2-5, 2014, Springer 2016, pp: 173-179
- > Haahtela T. (2012). Differences between financial options and real options. Lecture Notes in Management Science, Vol. 4: 169-178
- > Hull, J. (2012). Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, Global Edition. (11th ed.). Pearson Education
- Trigeorgis, L. (2000). Real Options: Managerial Flexibility and Strategy in Resource Allocation. The MIT Press: Cambridge, Massachusetts/London, England



